

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,988	08/30/2000	Michio Kusayanagi	FUJ 17.433	FUJ 17.433 2401	
26304	7590 04/26/2005		EXAMINER		
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN			WONG, BLANCHE		
	ON AVENUE L, NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2667		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/26/200	DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/651,988	KUSAYANAGI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Blanche Wong	2667	

•	Examino.	Acome					
	Blanche Wong	2667					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ■ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which							
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	FR 41.31; or (3) of the following				
The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Description of the Amendment (PTOL-324). Description of the Amendment (PTOL-324).							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 15.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-13</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s).	\				
CHI PHAM							
	SUPERVISO TECHNO	RY PATENT EXAMINATION OF CENTER 2800	Er				
	20,2						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition to cl. 1, In. 10, changes the scope of the claim.